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Dear Sir / Madam
A meeting of the ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY COMMITTEE will be held in the DELYN COMMITTEE ROOM, COUNTY HALL, MOLD CH7 6NA on WEDNESDAY, 21ST NOVEMBER, 2012 at 10.00 AM to consider the following items.


Democracy \& Governance Manager

## AGENDA

## 1 APOLOGIES

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (INCLUDING WHIPPING DECLARATIONS)
3 MINUTES (Pages 1-10)
To confirm as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on the 17
October, 2012.
4 SPEED LIMIT REVIEW (Pages 11-20)
Report of Director of Environment -

Report of Member Engagement Manager -

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985-TO

 CONSIDER THE EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLICThe following item is considered to be exempt by virtue of Paragraph(s) 14 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended).
Information contained within the report relating to how the contract has been priced is commercially sensitive and it is not in the public interest to disclose it at this time.

6 NORTH EAST WALES FOOD WASTE TREATMENT PROJECT (Pages 29 34)

Report of Director of Environment -

## Agenda Item 3

## ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 17 OCTOBER, 2012

Minutes of the meeting of the Environment Overview \& Scrutiny Committee of Flintshire County Council, held at County Hall, Mold on 17 October 2012.

PRESENT: Councillor M.G. Wright (Chairman)

Councillors: G.H. Bateman, D. Butler, P.J. Curtis, C.J. Dolphin, D. Evans, V. Gay, C. Hinds, H. D. Hutchinson, N.M. Matthews, P. Shotton and C.A. Thomas

SUBSTITUTE: Councillor I. Dunbar for A. Minshull

## ALSO PRESENT:

Councillor G. Hardcastle, Acting Waste Manager, Acting Waste Strategy and Recycling Team Leader.

APOLOGIES: Councillor J. Johnson, Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Enterprise and Leisure, Chief Regeneration Officer.

CONTRIBUTORS: Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Environment, Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste and Recycling, Director of Environment, Head of Streetscene, Economic Development Manager

IN ATTENDANCE: Interim Environment Overview and Scrutiny Facilitator and Committee Officer

## 28. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (including Whipping Declarations)

Councillor C.A. Thomas declared a personal interest in agenda item 6, due to her involvement with the Flintshire Rural Partnership and Cadwyn Clwyd, not as a representative of County Council.

## 29. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 12 September, 2012 had been circulated with the agenda.

## Accuracy

Councillor C.A. Thomas said that on item 26, page 6, her question about vacant posts in Environment did not include her question about the possible employment of apprentices to train as Environmental Health Officers.

## Matters arising

The Chair advised members that there would be a North Wales Residual Waste Treatment Project Workshop on 28 November.

The Scrutiny Facilitator advised members that the Executive Director of Public Health Wales had provided the report regarding particulate matter standards and that she could email the report to members and also provide a hard copy in the members' library.

Councillor D. Evans said that he had not yet received a copy of the Green Infrastructure action plan for the Lower River Dee. The Director for Environment was not aware of this and said he would arrange for a copy to be forwarded. Councillor C. A. Thomas asked if she could also receive a copy.

## RESOLVED:

That the minutes be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman, subject to the amendment noted above.

## 30. THE STREETSCENE SERVICE REVIEW

The Head of Streetscene introduced the report to update Members on the outcome of the review of the Streetscene service six months after its launch in March 2012.

In order to assess the progress made by the service so far, feedback and comments on the service were requested from Council Members through the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Environment, members of the public through satisfaction surveys, all Streetscene Staff, Trade Unions and Town and Community Councils. The Head of Street Scene reported that 23 replies had been received from councillors with a total of 89 comments, 17 were complimentary and 29 suggested improvements to be made. A total of 6 replies were received from staff and two replies from two trade unions. In relation to the Customer survey, 50\% of customers were aware of Streetscene and a third knew the phone number. Two thirds of respondents had noticed an improvement. The comments related to four main areas which fed into the following four action plans:

1. Staffing structure
2. Operational
3. Office and Depot and a series of Action Plans implemented.
4. Contact Centre

The Head of Street Scene said that the action plans would be built into future service plans. The Director of Environment then provided an update on the four main objectives of the project as follows:

## Improving Customer Access to the Service

Streetscene now had one telephone number to cover the whole service. It was recognised that initially there were not sufficient contact centre staff to deal with the high volume of calls. The Director of Environment commented that changes were being made to the contact centre as the service evolved.

## Raising Public Awareness of the Project

There had been a big media campaign around the launch date and lots of people now recognised Streetscene and the single number as a result of this.

## Operational Changes

Operational changes had lead to a more joined up service. Generic job roles had improved flexibility and enabled priorities to be met.

## Office and Depot rationalisation

Previously there were five depots; there was now one operational depot at Alltami.
The Director of the Environment said that there had been enormous changes to the service in the last six months and suggested that an update be submitted at some stage in the 2013/14 Forward Work Programme.

Councillor C. A Thomas asked how the surveys had been carried out. The Director of Environment in response said that customer surveys had been undertaken at roadshows and fetes during the summer. Councillor Thomas asked about street lighting and the Director of Environment advised that the Committee's FWP had timetabled a street lighting workshop in November. She said that she expected the grass cutting policy to have been changed as a result of the grass cutting Task and Finish group in scrutiny. The Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Environment said that it was not within the remit of scrutiny to make policy. He explained that policies went to Cabinet for authorisation and that at the time it was not allowed to go to Cabinet. He agreed to go back to Cabinet to discuss this. Councillor Thomas said that she was impressed by the work of the Streetscene supervisor in her area and the pride in my patch initiative.

Councillor P. Shotton said that it was a good report and that if all actions were undertaken it would lead to a much improved service. With reference to $68 \%$ of residents not being aware of the existence of Streetscene, he asked if letters could be sent out to publicise it and if the Contact Centre would be moved to Alltami. The Director of Environment said that a letter had been circulated with Council Tax bills in May, but that we would look at further ways of informing the public and that the Contact Centre would be moved to Alltami.

Councillor N.M. Matthews asked if future action plans could include details of the RAG status, a timescale and officer responsible. She added that she was very happy with the work of her local Streetscene Supervisor. The Director of Environment said that all future action plans would contain RAG status, dates and Officers responsible columns.

Councillor H.D. Hutchinson said that the local supervisor in his area worked well. He voiced his concern that when grass was cut at sheltered accommodation schemes, the cuttings were not removed. The Deputy Leader of the Council said
that Housing were responsible for grass cutting at council owned sheltered accommodation and that the Head of Housing had previously researched the cost of removing grass cuttings and found the cost prohibitive. He added that the Cabinet Member for Housing was looking at a budget pressure bid for grass cutting and that it was an item on the Housing Overview and Scrutiny agenda. The Chair welcomed the news that the issue would be pursued by the Housing Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

Councillor I. Dunbar asked about the future use of the now vacant Queensferry Depot. The Director of Environment said that the Queensferry Depot had become the salt store for regional resilience stocks for the A55 and trunk roads on behalf of the Welsh Government. He added that the intention was to ultimately dispose of the depot and to have discussions with the Welsh Government to see if the site was needed as part of any road widening plans. Councillor I. Dunbar asked about which department had responsibility for litter picking on playing fields before the grass was due to be cut. The Deputy Leader said that currently, responsibility lay with various departments and that he wanted to integrate all litter picking into Streetscene.

Councillor P.J. Curtis said it was a good report and acknowledged that surveys could only give limited feedback. He asked for information about out of hour's council contacts and procedures for emergency situations such as localised flooding. A recent flooding incident in Bagillt had exposed a lack of awareness about who could coordinate assistance. The Director of Environment said that there was an out of hours service called Care Connect with supervisors on 24 hour standby. On the day of the flooding in Bagillt, there had been a problem with contacting the Care Connect Service and this was under investigation. Councillor Curtis reported that parking penalty signs had been removed from council car parks in the Holywell area. The Director of Environment asked that Councillor Curtis forward details to him about the incidents. Councillor Curtis referred to a letter received by the Town Council which stated that damaged salt bins in the area would be removed, but did not state if they would be repaired or replaced by the council. The Head of Streetscene asked Councillor Curtis to forward to him the correspondence and confirmed that damaged council owned salt bins would be replaced with new ones. He added that Town and Community Councils had the option to purchase further bins.

Councillor D. Butler said that he welcomed the changes to the service and praised the work of his local supervisor. He said that grass cutting removal should be prioritised for elderly residents in council accommodation.

Councillor D. Evans said that performance in the contact centre had improved $100 \%$ since its introduction and that staff were very helpful. He asked if Councillors could be issued with the council mobile phone number of the area supervisors. The Director of the Environment said that he would re-issue the information to Councillors.

Councillor V. Gay said that she wanted to see more detail in the Call Centre performance graphs. She asked if an Equality Impact Assessment had been carried out for the project. The Head of Streetscene said that an Equality Impact Assessment had been carried out initially and was being updated.

Councillor G.H. Bateman suggested that artificial grass could be used in sheltered accommodation schemes. He also said that he had been disappointed by the service provided by some Call Centre staff and that when he visited the Depot, he noticed there were no rooms available for private conversations. The Chair advised that if Members had any staff concerns, that the matter be raised outside of Overview and Scrutiny with the relevant officer and managers.

Councillor C. Hinds acknowledged the work of the local supervisors. She asked if emails to the contact centre were dealt with as quickly as telephone calls. The Head of Streetscene confirmed that response times were the same for emails as well as telephone calls.

Councillor C.A. Thomas proposed that point 4.03 of the proposed resolution concerning the adoption of the new Grass Cutting Policy be removed. This was seconded and approved by a show of hands.

## RESOLVED:

(a) That Members of the Committee note the comments received on the service and the progress made during the first six months since its launch and advise that further public awareness of the telephone numbers be developed;
(b) That Members note the content of the Action Plans for the service and that deadlines and persons responsible be incorporated into the Action Plans;
(c) That point 4.03 of the report, concerning the Grass Cutting Policy be removed from the recommendation;
(d) That a further report be presented to the Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee during 2013-14; and
(e) That the Director of Environment convey the thanks of the Committee to the Streetscene supervisors for their work.

## 31. REVIEW OF THE COUNCIL'S WASTE COLLECTION SERVICE

The Chair advised the Committee that the following item referred to the Review of the Council's Waste Collection Service and not the Review of the Council's Waste Strategy as stated in the agenda.

The Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste and Recycling introduced the report to update Members on the progress made within the waste collection service, six months after the service review.

The Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste and Recycling said that there had been initial problems after roll out in November 2011 and that service levels had improved on a month to month basis. The issue of missed collections was the main concern of residents and this equated to $20-30$ missed collections per 100,000 collections. It was hoped that performance would be further improved with
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the introduction of an electronic notification service to confirm that waste collections had been made. This would be rolled out in January after an initial pilot with assisted collection rounds. The Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste \& Recycling said that in Quarter 1, Flintshire had achieved a recycling rate of just under $60 \%$ which was the second highest rate of recycling for a Welsh Local Authority during the period.

The Head of Streetscene said that of the 30 recommendations highlighted in the Action Plan, all but three were on target. There was an ongoing review into the use of sticker notifications on bins, the side waste issue was ongoing and negotiations were ongoing with the software supplier of a queue notification service on the telephone contact centre. New weighted blue and white bags for recyclables were now available and had received good feedback.

The Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste and Recycling reported that a new service had been introduced for flats which involved a smaller "scatter" vehicle. Saturday collections have been piloted and would be expanded following consultation and subject to availability of vehicles and funding. Side waste continued to be an issue and officers would visit and advise residents who persistently left side waste. The managed weekly collection service was anticipated to deliver a further $£ 245,000$ of efficiencies in 2012-13. The existing Household Recycling Centres are to remain

Councillor H. D. Hutchinson thanked the Cabinet Member and Officers for their report. He asked if there had been any new refuse crews in the Buckley area as he had received reports that the service levels had dropped. Councillor Hutchinson voiced concern at the crew's practice of leaving food bin lids open after they had been emptied. The Head of Streetscene said that operatives would be reminded about the need to leave bins tidily with the lids shut. He said that he was not aware of a new team in the Buckley area and added that new members would be introduced one at a time into established rounds. He advised members to contact him or Harvey Mitchell if they became aware of any issues.

Councillor C.A. Thomas said that collections could be missed in rural areas if the operatives were given house names only. She said that hand held consoles sometimes lost their charge and that the contact centre should provide addresses for the rounds. Reports had been received of bins replaced in the middle of driveways and the practice of large numbers of bins being gathered in one spot in a road for ease of collection by the vehicle. Councillor Thomas said that this had resulted in the wrong bins being returned. She asked if the budget could support the Saturday rounds and new vehicles. The Cabinet Member in response said that operatives were told to replace containers and bins as they had been found and that they had sufficient time to complete their rounds, so they did not have to cut corners with their work. The Director of Environment said that the budget monitoring report which went to Cabinet recently showed that the delayed introduction of Single Status had been compensated by income from extra recyclates.

Councillor P.J. Curtis said that supervisors must monitor time taken to complete rounds if they cannot be completed in the allocated time. He said that the Action Plan points were good, but will take time to complete.

Councillor N.M. Matthews thanked the Cabinet Member and Officers for their report. She asked if operatives who worked on Saturdays and Bank Holidays received premium payments. The Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste said that time and a half payments are made for Bank Holidays and Saturdays because Part 3 negotiations had not been completed. Councillor Matthews asked if a target be considered to eradicate the use of bin bags inside bins as they took a long time to decompose in land fill. The Cabinet Member Public Protection, Waste and Recycling said that bin bags keep the insides of the bin clean. The Director of Environment said that residents were free to purchase and use bin bags inside the bin if they wished. The Cabinet Member for Public Protection, Waste and Recycling and the Director for Environment agreed to give the suggestion further consideration.

Councillor D. Evans expressed concern that the use of missed collection technology could result in arguments between the council and residents. He asked if further types of plastic containers could be recycled. The Head of Streetscene said that items would not be recycled if there was not a market for them. Councillor Evans commented that there were not consistent service standards at the various Household Recycling Centres. The Head of Streetscene said that operatives at each Household Recycling Centre should meet and greet customers inside the Centre and ask customers if they needed assistance. Councillor Evans reported problems with bins left out in the street by residents in terraced housing in Shotton. The Head of Streetscene said that scatter vehicles could be used to empty bins from the rear of properties in alleyways.

Councillor D. Butler asked if anything could be done to dissuade groups of scrap merchants who targeted refuse set out for waste collection. He said that some residents leave bulky items outside their homes for the merchants to collect. The Director of Environment asked Councillor Butler to provide details to him regarding the locations.

Councillor C. Hinds asked if blue bags could be sealable, to prevent water accumulation. She asked if more replacement food bags could be carried onboard the vehicles. The Head of Streetscene said that new blue bags with Velcro seals were available on demand and that vehicles were being modified to carry more replacement bags.

Councillor G.H. Bateman asked if vehicles could be sent back on the same day to pick up missed collections. He also asked if the Council had plans to promote a bin cleaning service. The Head of Streetscene said that complaints often came in too late in the day to take remedial action that day. He said that it was not practicable to send operatives back the next day, as it would impact on the collection service for that day. The Deputy Leader said that the Council had been contacted by a Social Enterprise company that offered bin cleaning and sought assistance with promotion. He said that he would forward the email to members. The Head of Street Scene said that he could give the company details of the rounds.

Councillor C.A. Thomas said that a resident who wanted to dispose of computer discs was told by an operative at the Household Recycling Centre to
dispose of them in the general waste stream. The Head of Streetscene explained that he would investigate this.

Councillor C.J. Dolphin said that some crews had been seen to be rush around to complete rounds. He reported that food bags were still stacked up in the street and was concerned about stray plastic bottles littering streets. The Head of Streetscene said that operatives knew not to stack up waste and action would be taken against anyone doing this. He said that stray waste would be collected by litter teams in exceptional weather who would follow refuse vehicles on their rounds. Councillor Dolphin asked for clarification about the operative's working day and asked how savings could be made to the service when pay premiums were issued for Saturday shifts. The Head of Streetscene said that operatives had sufficient time to complete rounds and must sign in and out at every shift. Staff may clock out up to half an hour earlier than their scheduled end time, should they have completed their duties for the day. On some days they will be expected to go beyond their scheduled end time to complete a task, up to 30 minutes of which will be unpaid. The Head of Streetscene assured Members that operatives were not asked to achieve unrealistic targets. The Director of the Environment said that he would forward financial information regarding the savings mentioned in the report to Councillor Dolphin.

Councillor V. Gay said that residents were not aware of the Clinical Waste Service. The Head of Streetscene said residents would need to ring up to access the service which is partly funded by the NHS. He said that district nurses and pharmacies promoted the service and that Harvey Mitchell could follow this up with her.

## RESOLVED:

a) That Members of the Committee note the report and the progress made within the service since the service review in March 2012;
b) That the Committee be supplied with financial information for the service outlining savings; and
c) That the Committee notes the positive achievement of a near $60 \%$ recycling rate.

## 32. RURAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND EUROPEAN STRUCTURAL FUNDS

The Economic Development Manager presented a report to update Members on progress made in implementing the Rural Development Plan for Wales (RDP) 2007-2013 in Flintshire and to provide briefing material on the current and future position with European Structural Fund Programmes.

The Economic Development Manager informed members that the RDP programme was coming to an end. The Welsh Government had offered extensions for projects, new projects or extended activities. The European Structural Funds were due to finish in 2013, with project spend, including Flintshire projects running until 2015, subject to extension being approved. The Flintshire Projects run under European Structural Funds were the Town Centre Regeneration Project, Strategic

Business Parks, which involved Deeside Industrial Park, and the Genesis 2 Project to help economically inactive people overcome barriers to work.

Councillor P.J. Curtis said that the Holywell Streetscape and Access Improvements on page 61 made reference to approval by the Town Partnership, but not the Town Council. He asked if it was intended that the High Street be opened up to traffic. The Economic Development Manager said that the Town Partnership referred to the funding side of the project and that any consultations about the scope of the project would be undertaken in conjunction with the Town Council and County Council. He said that the area would see improvements for both pedestrians and traffic. The High Street would continue to be closed to traffic as now. Councillor Curtis said that he would expect full consultation for future plans.

The Chair asked if all funding for the projects would be drawn down before the end of the Programme. The Economic Development Manager reassured Members that projects would be completed, with the Talacre/Gronant project at the detailed design stage in negotiation with BHP, CCW and the land owner and the Mold and Holywell projects were at the scoping stage, and would move into design stage after Christmas.

## RESOLVED:

That Members note the progress in implementing the Rural Development Plan in Flintshire and the briefing material on European Structural Funds.

## 33. FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

The Interim Environment Overview and Scrutiny Facilitator introduced a report to enable the Committee to consider the Forward Work Programme.

Following discussion, it was agreed that the North Wales Residual Waste Treatment Project be removed from the Committee Meeting on 21 November and considered at a later date, following the workshop on 28 November at 10am. The Committee also agreed that Civil Parking Enforcement be discussed at the 9 January meeting and that Contracted Bus Services be moved to the 6 February Committee meeting.

The Facilitator advised the Committee that there had been a request from the Corporate Resources Committee that the Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee submit a report into Recycling Income. The Corporate Resources Overview and Scrutiny Committee had also invited the Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee to a future Joint Scrutiny Committee meeting, to discuss Assets and Estates in January or February 2013.

The Chair asked Members to note dates of the forthcoming budget rounds in their diary.

## RESOLVED:

That the amendments to the Forward Work Programme listed above be noted.

## 34. DURATION OF MEETING

The meeting began at 10.00 a.m. and ended at 12.25 p.m.

## 35. ATTENDANCE BY MEMBERS OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

There were no members of the public present and two members of the press present.

Chairman
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# Agenda Item 4 

FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## REPORT TO: ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

## DATE: WEDNESDAY, 21 NOVEMBER 2012

REPORT BY: DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENT
SUBJECT:

SPEED LIMIT REVIEW

### 1.00 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.01 To inform Members of the conclusions of the speed limit review covering the 'A class' and 'B class' road network within the Authority.
1.02 To recommend that Members endorse the changes to the speed limits identified in the review, as listed in Appendix B.

### 2.00 BACKGROUND

2.01 The Welsh Assembly Government published in 2009 the document entitled 'Setting Local Speed Limits in Wales' (WAG Circular 24/2009), which replaced the previous recommendations as set out in Circular Roads 1/93 (DfT/WO 1993).
2.02 The new guidance is to be used for setting all local speed limits on single and dual carriageways in both urban and rural areas.
2.03 All highway authorities were requested to review, in accordance with this guidance, the speed limits of all of their $A$ and $B$ roads, and implement any changes by $31^{\text {st }}$ December 2014.
2.04 This guidance confirmed that highway authorities continue to have the flexibility to set local speed limits that are right for individual roads, reflecting local needs and considerations.
2.05 Following a decision taken at Executive on $15^{\text {th }}$ November 2011, suitable specialist advisors were invited to tender for the review, and in March 2012, Atkins were awarded the contract.

### 3.00 CONSIDERATIONS

3.01 Atkins undertook a video survey of the whole of the ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' class road network, and made recommendations following the assessment of 127 separate sections of the network. A full copy of the assessment report is available in the Members Library.

```
3.02 The individual sections were classified with regard to any
recommended changes as follows:
Green - no change recommended
Amber - consideration to be given for change
Red - changes recommended
```

3.03 There were 14 red sections, and 24 amber sections which were then subject to further consideration and challenge by officers from the Authority. These details are included in Appendix A, which include comments by officers.
3.04 Following the detailed analysis of the information in Appendix A, a schedule of the sections identified for a proposed change in speed limits is included in Appendix B.
3.05 Plans showing the extent of the proposed changes will be provided on a section basis.
3.06 Any approved changes will require the support of North Wales Police, and also be subject to full statutory consultation to meet the statutory procedure for introducing Traffic Regulations Orders (TRO). Some changes may be made to the current proposals after this period of consultation.

### 4.00 RECOMMENDATIONS

4.01 That Members of the Environment Overview and Scrutiny note the recommendations of the report and support the identified changes in speed limits.

### 5.00 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.00 The estimated cost of implementing Appendix $B$ is approximately $£ 275$ k for the A roads, and $£ 180 \mathrm{k}$ for the B roads, a total of $£ 455 \mathrm{k}$. Funding for the work will be met from the Local Government Borrowing Initiative and a specific amount within existing Highway revenue budget.

### 6.00 ANTI POVERTY IMPACT

6.01 No identified impact.
7.00 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
7.01 The reduced speed limits will lessen the risk of collisions on the road network, and thus improve safety for all road users.
8.00 EQUALITIES IMPACT
8.01 None
9.00 PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS
9.01 None
10.00 CONSULTATION REQUIRED
10.01 Each change in speed limit proposed will require to follow the statutory procedures regarding advertising.
11.00 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN
11.01 Each Local Member and Town/Community Council were provided with a link to the new speed limit guidance when published.
12.00 APPENDICES
12.01 Appendix A - Identified red and amber sections Appendix B - Schedule of proposed changes
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT) 1985BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS
Contact Officer: Gerwyn Powell
Telephone: ..... 01352704634Email:

This page is intentionally left blank

| Road Number | Section number | Extent of existing speed limit | Extent of proposed new speed limit | Existing Speed Limit | Recommended Speed Limit | Reasons given for a change in speed limit | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A5104 | 3 | Coed Talon, from a point 300 m West of School Lane to the A541 | Coed Talon, from a point 300 m West of School Lane to ?? | 30 | 40 or 50 | When leaving Section 2 there is little or no development so does not feel 30 in nature | An extension of the 40 mph limit from the previous section should be considered for a suitable length. |
| A5104 | 6b | Broughton, from W of Broughton Roundabout to Bretton Hall Lane | Broughton, from W of Broughton Roundabout to Bretton Hall Lane | 40 | ? |  | This is a recently introduced 40 mph limit. A change in speed limit should not be considered. |
| A5104 | 7 | Bretton, from Bretton Hall Lane to W of Saltney Ferry Road | Bretton, from Bretton Hall Lane to W of Saltney Ferry Road | 50 | ? | Some sections reflect the nature of an urban 40 limit | This is a recently introduced 50 mph limit. A change in speed limit should not be considered. |
| A541 | 1 | Afonwen, from the County Boundary to Hendre | Afonwen | 60 | 50 or 40 | The level of development increases with footways/ residential properties | No start point is given for the proposed new limit but a point West of the Pwll Gwyn should be a suitable start for a 40 mph limit. No end point is given, however a point East of the B5122 junction should be suitable. |
| A541 | 1 | Afonwen, from the County Boundary to Hendre | Melin y Wern | 60 | 50 or 40 | The level of development increases with footways/ residential properties | No start point is given for the proposed new limit but a point near the entrance to the Sand and Gravel Works should be suitable for a 40 mph limit .No end point is given, however a point East of the B5121 junction should be suitable. |
|  | 3 | Hendre, from Mill House to a point 250m East of Lloyds Terrace | Hendre, from Mill House to a point 250m East of Lloyds Terrace | 30 | 40 | Much of the section has the nature of the previous 40 section | Most of this section has a very different nature to the previous 40 section. No review of speed limit should be considered. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathbb{D}} \\ & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{A} 541} \end{aligned}$ | 5 | Rhydymwyn, from the Bowling Club to a point 400 m E of B5123 | Rhydymwyn, from ?? to a point 400m East of B5123 | 40 | 60 | Eastern half of section has the nature of a derestricted section | There is a very high collision rate on this section. However, a review of the existing speed limit should not be considered. |
| A541 | 7 | Mold, from Synthite Works to the Wylfa Roundabout | Mold, from ?? to the A5119 | 30 | 40 | The section approaching the A5119 has the nature of a 40 limit | No start point is given for the proposed new limit, however the proposed new section is likely to be less than 400 m . No review of speed limit should be considered. |
| A541 | 9 | Pontblyddyn, from Alyn Bank to a point 300m East of A5104 | Pontblyddyn, from Alyn Bank to Pont Fferm | 30 | 40 | The majority of the section has the nature of a rural 40 limit | Within the first 400m has a Pub, a Church and a Cemetery. From then on then on the level of development meets the criteria for a village.No review of speed limit should be considered. |
| A541 | 11 | Caergwrle, from a point 250 m West of Fagl Lane to Abermorddu | Caergwrle, from a point 250m West of Fagl Lane to ?? | 30 | 40 | The start of this section has the nature of a rural 40 limit | No end point is given for the proposed new limit but the presence of the Fagl Lane junction requires the existing 30 mph limit to remain. No review of speed limit should be considered. |
| A549 | 1 | Mynydd Isa, from the Wylfa Roundabout to Little Mountain Rd | Mynydd Isa, from the Wylfa Roundabout to ?? | 30 | 40 | Nature and characteristics of the road are similar in nature to a 40 limit | No end point is given for the proposed new limit, however a review of the speed limit on the downhill approach to the Wylfa roundabout should not be considered. |
| A549 | 1 | Mynydd Isa, from the Wylfa Roundabout to Little Mountain Rd | Buckley, from Drury New Rd to Little Mountain Road | 30 | 40 | Nature and characteristics of the road are similar in nature to a 40 limit | The proposed new section would be less than 400 m in length and there is limited visibility at the Little Mountain Road junction. No review of speed limit should be considered |

Extent of proposed new speed Existing Recommended Reasons given for a change in speed limit limit
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higher limit at the Hawarden Road junction is not suitable.
No review of speed limit should be considered.
Padeswood has more development than exists within the
previous section. No review of speed limit should be considered.
previous section. No review of speed limit should be considered
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Road has nature and characteristics of
the previous derestricted section
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is
There are a high number of collisions at the Western end of the should be considered for a suitable length. There are also a high
should be considered for a suitable length. There are also
number of collisions at the Eastern end of this section. A
40 mph limit should be considered for a suitable length.
The number of houses in Lloc appears to meet the WAG
guidance for a 40 mph limit. There is also a high collision rate
on this section. A 40 mph limit should be considered.
A 40 mph limit should be considered for this rural section..
 carriageway alignment.
Road geometry makes it difficult to travel
at derestricted speeds


Existing Recommended Reasons given for a change in speed limit

| Speed |
| :---: |
| Limit |

20
50
40 visibility mean travelling at 60 is difficult
Comments
A 20 mph limit should be considered for the village centre
and in the vicinity of the school.
A reduction in the speed limit should not be considered as the
collision history does not support such a change.
A reduction in the speed limit should not be considered as the
collision history does not support such a change.
The introduction of a 40 mph speed limit should not be
considered as the length required would be too short.
No start or finish points given for the new limit. A new 30 mph
limit has recently been introduced past the school. A review
limit has recently been introduced past the school. A review
A 40 mph buffer section should be introduced on this section
due to the steep hill and carriageway alignment.
A 40 mph speed limit should not be considered for this section due to the collision history and the level of development
The recent collision history on this secion does not support the consideration of 50 mph speed limit on this section
A 40 mph speed limit should be considered on this section
due to the carriageway alignment.

start of the existing 40 mph limit near the Red Lion to the
bend adjacent to the Church Institute. A 30 mph limit should
also be considered in Halkyn from the Quarry entrance to the junction near Maes Alyn. Between these two lengths the existing 40 mph speed limit should remain.
The existing 40 mph speed limit at the junction South of St.
Mary's Church should be extended to the junction nr. Maes

The number of properties and high risk of
animals in road suggest a 30 limit
The very short length of this derestricted
section disturbs the continuity of the
existing speed limits on this road
$\circ$

| 60 | 50 | Road width and geometry make it difficult <br> to travel at 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 60 | 40 | The very narrow carriageway and the steep <br> incline make travelling at 60 unrealistic |
| 40 | 30 | The number of properties and high risk of <br> animals in road suggest a 30 limit |
| 60 | 40 | The very short length of this derestricted <br> section disturbs the continuity of the <br> existing speed limits on this road |

09
09
$0 \varepsilon$
$\begin{gathered}\text { !!ש! } \\ \text { pəods }\end{gathered}$
40 Development increases before the start of
The first part of the section has the nature of a 40 limit
Road width and geometry does not reflect
a derestricted limit



8
Caerwys, from the A55 Westbound
slip roads to St. Michael's Drive
Caerwys, from a property named
Trigfa to the A541 junction, Afonwen
Halkyn, from ?? to the junction
South of St. Mary's Church
Halkyn, from junction South of
St.Mary's Church to junction
North of St. Mary's Church
Road
Number
$B 5101$
$B 5101$
$B 5101$
B5121
$\underset{\substack{\grave{j}\\}}{\stackrel{N}{2}}$



Trigfa to the A541 junction, Afonwen
Rhosesmor, from the Red Lion to
the junction S of St. Mary's Church
B5123 $4 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Halkyn, from junction South of } \\ & \text { St.Mary's Church to junction }\end{aligned}$
Pẳge 17
$\underset{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$
B5123

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A 20mph limit should be conside } \\
& \text { and in the vicinity of the school. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Extent of proposed new speed
limit
Connah's Quay, from existing
30 limit to ??
Ewloe Green, from ?? to ??
Kelsterton, from 30 limit to ??
Pentre, from A550 Queensferry
Interchange to ??
Caer Estyn, from ?? to the
County Boundary
Comments
An increase to a 40 mph limit should not be considered for any

| 30 | 40 or 50 | The section over the A55 has the nature of a higher limit | An increase to a 50 mph speed limit or even a 40 mph limit should not be considered for the central part of this section due to the proximity of Ewloe Green CP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 40 | The road is wide and development is set back so road has the nature of a 40 limit | An increase to a 40 mph limit should not be considered for the Western end of this section due to the proximity of the Kelsterton Lane junction and the level of development. |
| 30 | 40 | The wide road and set back development gives the road the nature of a 40 limit | An increase to a 40 mph limit should not be considered for the Eastern end of this section due to the level of development. |
| 60 | 50 | On approach to the County Boundary there are pockets of development | A reduction in the speed limit should be considered for this section but would require consultation with Wrexham CBC. |

Existing Recommended Reasons given for a change in speed limit

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


part of this section due to the level of development.
An increase to a 40 mph limit should not be considered for any
pat of his secion due to leve of devepment.
An increase to a 50 mph speed limit or even a 40 mph limit
should not be considered for the central part of this section due
o the proximity of Ewloe Green CP.
An increase to a 40 mph limit should not be considered for the Western end of this section due to the proximity of the
Kelsterton Lane junction and the level of development.
An increase to a 40 mph limit should not be considered for the
section but would require consultation with Wrexham CBC.
8
Caer Estyn, from ?? to the
County Boundary
$\begin{array}{cccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Road } \\ \text { Number }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Section } \\ \text { number }\end{array} \\ \text { B5126 Extent of existing speed limit }\end{array}$
B5127 $1 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Buckley, from A549 Windmill Road } \\ & \text { to the B5125 junction at Ewloe }\end{aligned}$
to the B5125 junction at Ewloe
Kelsterton, from a point 250 m E of
A548 to a point 60 m E of Leaches
A548 to a point 60 m E of Leaches
Lane, Pentre
Kelsterton, from a point 250 m E of

Lane, Pentre
Hope, from a point 70 m South of the
A550 junction to the County Boundary
$\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{N}}{\stackrel{N}{\grave{\sim}}}$
$\stackrel{\sim}{N}$
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| Appendix B |  |  | List of Sections identified by FCC for consideration |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Number | Section number | Extent of existing speed limit | Extent of proposed new speed limit | Existing <br> Speed Limit | Recommended Speed Limit | Reasons given for a change in speed limit | Comments |
| A541 | $1$ | Afonwen, from the County Boundary to Hendre | Afonwen | 60 | 50 or 40 | The level of development increases with footways/ residential properties | No start point is given for the proposed new limit but a point West of the Pwll Gwyn should be a suitable start for a 40 mph limit. No end point is given, however a point East of the B5122 junction should be suitable. |
| A541 | $1$ | Afonwen, from the County Boundary to Hendre | Melin y Wern | 60 | 50 or 40 | The level of development increases with footways/ residential properties | No start point is given for the proposed new limit but a point near the entrance to the Sand and Gravel Works should be suitable for a 40 mph limit .No end point is given, however a point East of the B5121 junction should be suitable. |
| A548 | 3 | Sealand, from E of Manor Road to West of Green Lane. |  | 40 | 50 | The majority of this section has the nature of an urban 50 limit | A 50 mph speed limit should be considered for the Eastern Access Rd. from a point West of Green Lane to the roundabout at Sealand Road |
| A550 | 3 | Hawarden, from a point 300 m South of B5125 to Dobshill | Hawarden, from a point 300 m South of B5125 to ?? | 60 | 50 or 40 | The road geometry at the beginning of the section make it difficult to travel at 60 | The high collision rate and the poor carriageway alignment on this section require the consideration of a 40 mph limit for the |
| טם |  |  |  |  |  |  | whole of this section. |
| © |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{C}}^{5026}$ | 1 | Holywell, from the A55 nr The Nant to E of the Stamford Gate | Holywell, from the A55 nr The Nant to E of the Stamford Gate | 60 | 50 | A footway is present throughout. The physical road nature makes 60 difficult | There are a high number of collisions at the Eastern end of this section. A 40 mph limit should be considered for a suitable length from the A55 to a suitable point West of The Nant. <br> There are also a high number of collisions at the Western end of this section. A 40 mph limit should be considered from a suitable point East of Hillcrest Garage to a point East of the Stamford Gate. |
| A5026 | 4 | Holywell, from Saith Aelwyd Park to the A55 Crossways Interchange | Holywell, from Saith Aelwyd Park to the A55 Crossways Interchange | 60 | 50 | Road geometry makes it difficult to travel at derestricted speeds | There is a high collision rate in the Lloc area of this section and the number of houses in Lloc meets the WAG guidance for a 40 mph speed limit. A 40 mph limit should be considered from a suitable point East of the village to a suitable point West of the Gorsedd junction. |
| A5026 | 5 | Lloc, from the Rock Inn to Penfforddlan Roundabout | Lloc, from the Rock Inn to Penfforddlan Roundabout | 30 | 40 | The section has the nature of a rural 40 limit | A 40 mph limit should be considered for the whole length of this rural section |
| A5026 | 6 | Holywell, from St.Peter's Park to Victoria Park, Boot End | Holywell, from St.Peter's Park to Victoria Park, Boot End | 60 | 50 | The road geometry and steep hill makes it difficult to travel at derestricted speeds | A 50 mph speed limit should be considered for the whole length of this section due to the carriageway alignment. |


| Recommended <br> Speed <br> Limit | Reasons given for a change in speed limit | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | Towards end of section the narrow road <br> and the school make a 20 limit suitable | The existing 30 mph limit should be extended to a suitable point <br> West of the Special Riding School. <br> A 20mph limit should be considered for the village centre <br> and in the vicinity of the school. |
| 50 or 40 | Road width and geometry does not reflect <br> a derestricted limit | A 40mph speed limit should be introduced for the whole length <br> of this section due to the carriageway alignment. |


| 60 | 40 | The very narrow carriageway and the steep incline make travelling at 60 unrealistic | A 40 mph speed limit should be introduced for the whole length of this section due to the carriageway alignment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 30 | The number of properties and high risk of animals in road suggest a 30 limit | A 30 mph limit should be considered from the Red Lion to a suitable point North of the Church Institute, Rhosesmor. <br> A 30 mph limit should also be considered from a suitable point East of Rhos Helyg CP School to the junction near Maes Alyn in Halkyn |
| 60 | 40 | The very short length of this derestricted section disturbs the continuity of the existing speed limits on this road | The existing 40 mph speed limit at the junction South of St. Mary's Church should be extended to the junction North of St. Mary's Church |
| 60 | 50 | On approach to the County Boundary there are pockets of development | A 40 mph speed limit should be considered from a suitable point West of Caer Estyn to the County Boundary but this would require consultation with Wrexham CBC. |

Extent of proposed new speed
limit
Llanfynydd, from ?? to nr . Coed
Issa Farm
Brynford, from a point 75 m west of
the A55 bridge to Brighton Villas on
Brynford Road, Holywell
Caerwys, from a property named
Trigfa to the A541 junction, Afonwen
Halkyn, from ?? to the junction
South of St. Mary's Church
Halkyn, from junction South of
St.Mary's Church to junction
North of St. Mary's Church
Caer Estyn, from ?? to the
County Boundary

$\stackrel{\circ}{8}$
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| Section number | Extent of existing speed limit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mynydd Isa, from Little Mountain Rd to Dobshill roundabout |
| 4 | Deeside, from $S$ of Green Lane to East of Papermill Lane, Oakenholt |
| 17 | Talacre, from W of The Lodge to East of Gronant Village |
| 18 | Gronant, from East of the village to the County Boundary |
| 1 | Queensferry, from the B5129 to the Daleside Garden Centre, Hawarden |

The existing 30 mph limit should be extended from the Special
Riding School to a suitable point West of the village. The existing 30 mph limit should be extended from the Cymau Lane junction to a suitable point North of Cymau Lane
A lower speed limit should be considered due to the carriageway
alignment An extension of the existing 30 mph speed limit should be
considered from the junction at the top of the Springfield Hill to
the Old Rectory. An extension of the existing 30 mph limit should be considered from the top of the Springfield Hill to a suitable point on the Springfield Hill. A 40 mph limit should be considered from this
point to the A55 W/bound junction and A5026 junction

-



8
$0 \varepsilon$
09
No review of speed limit required
No review of speed limit required
$\underset{\text { Limit }}{\text { Speed }}$
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Extent of proposed new speed
limit

| Road Number | Section number | Extent of existing speed limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5101 | 2 | Treuddyn, from a point 225m E of the A5104 to the Special Riding School |
| B5101 | 5 | Ffrith, from Cymau Lane to the junction with Swallowfields |
| B5102 | 1 | Abermorddu, from a point 50 m West of A541 to the County Boundary near Ffrith |
| B5123 | 5 | Halkyn, from the junction North of St. Mary's Church to junction at the top of Springfield Hill, Pentre Halkyn |
| B5123 | 6 | Pentre Halkyn, from the top of the |
| ט |  | Springfield Hill to the A55 W/bound |
| (0) |  |  |
| (1) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{N}^{5125}$ | 4 | Ewloe, from Shotton Lane to a point 275m West of Moor Lane, Hawarden |

## Agenda Item 5

## FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## REPORT TO: ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY COMMITTEE <br> DATE: <br> WEDNESDAY, 21 NOVEMBER 2012 <br> REPORT BY: LEARNING \& SOCIAL CARE OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FACILITATOR <br> SUBJECT: FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

### 1.00 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.01 To consider the Forward Work Programme of the Environment Overview \& Scrutiny Committee.

### 2.00 BACKGROUND

2.01 Items feed into a Committee's Forward Work Programme from a number of sources. Members can suggest topics for review by Overview \& Scrutiny Committees, members of the public can suggest topics, items can be referred by the Executive for consultation purposes, or by County Council, or Directors. Other possible items are identified from the Executive Work Programme and the Strategic Assessment of Risks \& Challenges.
2.02 In identifying topics for future consideration, it is useful or a 'test of significance' to be applied. This can be achieved by asking a range of questions as follows:

1. Will the review contribute to the Council's priorities and/or objectives?
2. Are there issues of weak or poor performance?
3. How, where and why were the issues identified?
4. Do local communities think the issues are important and is there any evidence of this? Is there evidence of public dissatisfaction?
5. Is there new Government guidance or legislation?
6. Have inspections been carried out?
7. Is this area already the subject of an ongoing review?

### 3.00 CONSIDERATIONS

3.01 Overview \& Scrutiny presents a unique opportunity for Members to determine the Forward Work Programme of the Committees of which they are members. By reviewing and prioritising the forward work programme Members are able to ensure it is member-led and includes the right issues. A copy of the Forward Work Programme is attached at Appendix 1 for Members' consideration which has been updated following the last meeting.

### 4.00 RECOMMENDATIONS

### 4.01 That the Committee considers the draft Forward Work Programme attached as Appendix 1 and approve/amend as necessary.

### 5.00 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None as a result of this report.

### 6.00 ANTI POVERTY IMPACT

None as a result of this report.
7.00 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

None as a result of this report.
8.00 EQUALITIES IMPACT

None as a result of this report.

### 9.00 PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

None as a result of this report.

### 10.00 CONSULTATION REQUIRED <br> N/A

### 11.00 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN

Publication of this report constitutes consultation.

### 12.00 APPENDICES

Appendix 1 - Forward Work Programme
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT) 1985 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

None.

Contact Officer: Margaret Parry-Jones
Telephone: 01352702427
Email: Margaret_Parry-Jones@flintshire.gov.uk

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

| Date of <br> Meeting | Subject | Purpose of Report/Presentation | Scrutiny Focus | Responsible/Contact <br> Officer | Submission <br> Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## CURRENT FWP

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | 21 Nov 2012 | Speed Limit Review <br> Food Waste Project | To consider the outcome of the review together with draft proposals. <br> To receive a progress report on the project | Policy Review <br> Strategic | Head of Assets \& Transportation <br> Director of Environment | 12 Nov 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 Jan 2013 | Quarterly <br> Performance <br> Reporting <br> Deeside <br> Enterprise Zone | To consider Quarter 2 outturns for improvement targets against directorate indicators <br> Presentation by the Chair of Deeside Enterprise Board Mr Askar Sheibani | Performance Monitoring | Director of Environment <br> Facilitator | 27 Dec 2012 |
|  |  | Civil Parking Enforcement <br> North Wales Residual Waste Treatment Project | To consider the draft Civil Parking Enforcement policy prior to consideration by Cabinet <br> To receive and consider further details on the progress of the project following on from the workshop on $28^{\text {th }}$ November 2012. | Pre-decision scrutiny <br> Strategic <br> (Collaboration)) | Director of Environment <br> Head of Assets \& Transportation |  |

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

| Date of <br> Meeting | Subject | Purpose of Report/Presentation | Scrutiny Focus | Responsible/Contact <br> Officer | Submission <br> Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | 6 Feb 2013 | Contracted Bus Services <br> Recycling Income | To consider proposals following the national review. <br> To receive a report on the income generated via recycling activity. | Service Delivery | Director of Environment | 28 Jan 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \text { March } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Quarterly Performance Reporting | To consider Quarter 3 outturns for improvement targets against directorate indicators. | Performance Monitoring | Director of Environment | 25 Feb 2013 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & N \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 10 April } \\ 2013 \end{array}$ | Communities First <br> Rural <br> Development Plan | To receive an update on the effectiveness of the new programme. <br> To receive regular updates on the progress of Business Plan 2 with particular consideration to any downturns in progress. | Service Delivery | Head of Regeneration <br> Head of Regeneration | 1 April 2013 |
|  | 22 May 2013 | To be determined |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { May } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 26 \text { June } \\ 2013 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Quarterly Performance Reporting | To consider Quarter 4 and year end outturns for improvement targets against directorate indicators. | Performance Monitoring | Director of Environment | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { June } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 23 July 2013 | North Wales Residual Waste Treatment Partnership | To receive and consider further details on the progress of the project. | Strategic (Collaboration) | Director of Environment | $19 \text { July }$ $2013$ |

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

| Date of <br> Meeting | Subject | Purpose of Report/Presentation | Scrutiny Focus | Responsible/Contact <br> Officer | Submission <br> Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## ITEMS TO BE SCHEDULED as agreed by Committee

| Item | Purpose of Report | Responsible / <br> Contact Officer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Streetlighting <br> Workshop | To input into the development of a new streetlighting policy, following on <br> from the work of the former Task \& Finish Group | Head of <br> Streetscene |
| Assets \& Estates | Invitation from Corporate Resources O\&S Committee to join them to <br> consider a report focusing on an overview of what is owned and where, <br> together with incentives and support that Flintshire could give to new <br> businesses to encourage take up of vacant units, eg rent free/reduced <br> rent periods. |  <br> Transportation |
| Dog fouling | To receive a report on the review of enforcement options re dog fouling | Director of <br> Environment |
| North Wales Residual Waste <br> Treatment Project | To receive and consider further details on the progress of the project. |  |
| Waste Strategy |  |  |
| Missed Collections Technology |  |  |

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

| Date of <br> Meeting | Subject | Purpose of Report/Presentation | Scrutiny Focus | Responsible/Contact <br> Officer | Submission <br> Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Item | Purpose of Report | Responsible / <br> Contact Officer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rights of Way |  |  |

## REGULAR ITEMS

| Month | Item | Purpose of Report <br> Responsible $/$ <br> Contact Officer |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarterly/ <br> Annual | Performance Reporting | To consider performance outturns for improvement targets <br> against directorate indicators. | Director of <br> Environment |
| Quarterly | North Wales Residual Waste <br> Treatment Project | To receive and consider further details on the progress of <br> the project. | Director of <br> Environment |
| $\mathbf{6}$ monthly | Rural Development Plan | To receive regular updates on the progress of Business <br> Plan 2 with particular consideration to any downturns in <br> progress. | Head of <br> Regeneration |

## APPENDIX B

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

| Date of <br> Meeting | Subject | Purpose of Report/Presentation | Scrutiny Focus | Responsible/Contact <br> Officer | Submission <br> Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SECTION 1 - COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP

| Category | Risk Reference | Title | Committee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategic Partnerships | CL08 | Climate Change and Flood Risk Management | Enviro |
|  | CL09 | Economic Regeneration | Enviro |
|  | CL10 | Decline of Town Centres | Enviro |
|  | CL11 | Integrated and Public Transport Infrastructure | Enviro |
|  | CL12 | Skills Needs of Employers | Enviro / LL |
|  | CL14 | North Wales Regional Waste Treatment Partnership | Enviro |

SECTION 2 - COUNCIL DELIVERY

| Category | Number | Title | Committee |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Environment | CD02 | Streetscene | Enviro |
|  | CD03 | Transition from UDP to LDP | Enviro |
|  | CD04 | Planning Protocol | Enviro |
|  | CD05 | Highways Infrastructure | Enviro |
|  | CD06 | Transport arrangements for service users | Enviro (links to <br> S\&H and LL) |

ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

| Date of <br> Meeting | Subject | Purpose of Report/Presentation | Scrutiny Focus | Responsible/Contact <br> Officer | Submission <br> Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Category | Number | Title | Committee |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | CD07 | Depot provision | Enviro |
| Waste | CD27 | Waste Management Targets (Food Waste Treatment <br> Project) | Enviro |
|  | CD27c | Waste Management (Operations) | Enviro |
|  | CD34 | Impact of Severe Winter Weather | Enviro |


| Category | Number | Title | Committee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environment | CG05 | Asset Management | Enviro |
| Environment | CG05a | Asset - Strategy | Enviro |
| Environment | CG05b | Asset Rationalisation | Enviro |
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